

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



T/PTO 1 SEP 2005PC'I

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO		cation of Transmittal of International			
P-14-405-PCT		Preliminary	Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
International application No.	International filing date (da		Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/IB2003/002705	24 June 2003 (24.		27 June 2002 (27.06.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n H04H 9/00, 7/04	ational classification and IPC	3				
Applicant	NAGRAVISIO	ON SA				
This international preliminary exa Authority and is transmitted to the a			International Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	4 sheets, incl	uding this cover	sheet.			
been amended and are the b		eets containing r	tion, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority the PCT).			
These annexes consist of a	total of sheet	ts.				
This report contains indications relations	ating to the following items:					
I Basis of the report	t					
II Priority	II Priority					
III Non-establishmen	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of in	IV Lack of unity of invention					
V Reasoned stateme	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI Certain document	ts cited		RECEIVED			
VII Certain defects in	the international application	ı	OIPE/IAP			
VIII Certain observation	ons on the international appli	ication	SEP 2 8 2005			
Date of submission of the demand	Di	ate of completion	of this report			
20 December 2003 (20.1	12.2003)	26	April 2004 (26.04.2004)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Aı	uthorized officer				
P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk		Pantelakis, P				
Facsimile No. +31 70 340-3016 Telepho			Telephone No. +31 70 340-3526			

International application No.

PCT/IB2003/002705

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis of the report						
1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):						
\boxtimes	the international	application as originally filed.				
\boxtimes	the description,	pages1-8	, as originally filed,			
		pages				
			, filed with the letter of,			
		pages	, filed with the letter of			
\boxtimes	the claims,	Nos. 1-6				
		Nos	_ , as amended under Article 19,			
		Nos.				
			_ , filed with the letter of ,			
		Nos.	_ , filed with the letter of ·			
\boxtimes	the drawings,	sheets/fig 1/1	_ , as originally filed,			
		sheets/fig	_, filed with the demand,			
			, filed with the letter of,			
		sheets/fig	, filed with the letter of			
2. The amen	iments have resul	ted in the cancellation of:				
	the description,	pages				
	the claims,	Nos.				
	the drawings,	sheets/fig				
3. Thi to g	s report has been go beyond the disc	established as if (some of) the a losure as filed, as indicated in t	mendments had not been made, since they have been considered he Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
4. Additiona	l observations, if	necessary:				
1						
ļ						
l l						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB 03/02705

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-6	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-6	YES
	Claims		NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6	YES
		Claims		NO NO

2. Citations and explanations

D1 (US-B1-6388712) which is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1, describes a method for validating the broadcasting conditions of a digital content by a broadcast station (D1, column 8, lines 22 to 32; column 4, line 56 to column 5, line 47).

The method of D1 differs from that of claim 1 in that there is no set of data describing the operational conditions of the broadcast station.

The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can be considered to be that of providing a method enabling the broadcasting conditions to be validated while the scheduling of the digital content is being prepared.

None of the documents cited in the international search report mentions a set of data describing the operational conditions of the broadcast station.

The subject matter of claim 1 therefore complies with the PCT requirements of novelty (PCT Article 33(2)), inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) and industrial applicability (PCT Article 33(4)).

Claims 2 to 6 are dependent on claim 1 and thus also comply, as such, with the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step.